

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/526,117	TSCHUDIN, CHRISTIAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Scheibel	2666

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(3) Alexander Zinchuk.

(2) Ronit Gillon.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 June 2005

Time: 12:25 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 16, 21, 24-27, and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 7-11-05
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested changes to the wording of the above listed claims to improve the clarity of these claims. Applicant agreed to the changes which are documented in detail in the enclosed examiner's amendment. Examiner and applicant also corresponded via email to ensure the accuracy of these changes. Also spoke with Alexander Zinchuk on Monday, July 11, 2005 regarding the change to the abstract; the change detailed in the examiner's amendment was approved by Mr. Zinchuk.